4/2/98

Dear Jim,

Thnaka for your letter actually dated January 28 and for the MacRae decision.

Glad to hear from Dave that the elevated PSA test did not signify prostate cancer. I presume your doctors will keep close tabs. I have had some good experience with proscar it will not hurt you to know. There is another medication of which some seem to have a higher opinion. Before proscrar was approved I was on a monthly injection of somethine from Japan, injected at Hopkins, and the damned stuff cost about $400 per shot, for the medication alone. Once proscar was approved I've been on it. I was given to understand that getting 10 years from a TURP is pretty good. I'm on my 13th with the medication and with indications for some time that something other than the TURP will be called for soon.

The top man at Hopkins in urology and on that test is named Walsh.

I am more feeble than I've ever been. Some of the newer deterioration may be from going from the thigh-lenth anti-embolism stocking to knee length but it may be general deterioration. My body now wants more sleep and I give it that. Listening to it. But I've gotten a rather surprising amount of work done, as Dave can tell you.

I have what I've started on Posner in mind, I've not taken time to outline or Id not have been able to make the record for history that I have. It will be more thwn Posner. You may remember I had some ideas for The King Conspiracies on paper when we filed 1996 and then, no black interest, returned to JFK. I'll want to pick some of that up.

I've skimmed the Posner and it is superficial on the crime and poisonous on Ray. I'll spend littke time on bis presumption of guilt and if I've the gofer help will go heavily onwhat was esculpatory all of which Posner knew about from his days here and he knew it was all available.

I have not thought it all through yet and have to wonder how much I'll be up to but I plan to use what I wrote you about, would like to use some of the interceptions, the Huie grand jury testinony, and how I wish I had your account of what Foreman did when he merely thought of my name. He did flee a TV studio to avoid me. I'll want to use us the best of our testinony and the worts of their's. Plus the threat to me and my having to get a lawyer. Interception of your mail and us shadowed. Anything you can think of, and it will be good if you can find the time to go over it. It might, with editing, be publishable if I can ridicule him enough. And not turn off those who publish in love with the official myth. What I've done on Hersh should, with editing, be publishable. So you can see why, aisde from completeness at Hood, I'd like all the exhibits. My copies of the pictures I let Bud have and use have been stolen! All of them have been! I think it best to regard my time as brief. Thanks and best, and take care!

Harold

JAMES H. LESAR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
918 F STREET, N.W., ROOM 509
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

TELEPHONE (202) 393-1921

January 26, 1998

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 20701

Dear Harold:

Enclosed, as you requested, is Judge McRae's decision on Ray's habeas corpos petition as reported at 392 F. Supp. 601 (1975).

I hear from Wrone that you are annotating Posner. Have you reached the point where he gives the impression that Percy Foreman returned to Memphis to testify at Ray's evidentiary hearing? In skimming his book (title lifted from Huie) on the subway I came to it and startled a number of passengers with my deranged laughter. As they say on the dust jacket, he really is one hell of a investigator.

When you have finished, I would like to see what you have written. I plan to write something in response myself, but heaven only knows when I'll find time to do it.

Sincerely yours,

Jim

Jim